

For professional clients only, not suitable for retail clients.



RLPPC Enhanced Buy and Maintain Credit Fund

Quarterly Investment Report

30 June 2024

Quarterly Report

The fund as at 30 June 2024

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the RLPPC Enhanced Buy and Maintain Credit Fund. The report has been produced by Royal London Asset Management. The report starts with a summary dashboard showing key information about the fund. A glossary is located at the end of the report covering the description of some of the more technical terms used within the report. All data is as at the report date unless otherwise stated.

Contents

The fund	3
Performance and activity	4
Fund breakdown	6
Credit ratings	7
ESG	8
Market commentary	12
Further information	13
Disclaimers	14
Performance net and gross	16
Glossary	17

The fund

Fund performance objective and benchmark

The Fund will aim to provide excess income (relative to equivalent UK Government Bonds) over the life of the Fund. It will target a spread at inception, relative to gilts, of +1.3%-1.6% (with allowance for +/- 0.1% tolerance at inception). This spread target may move over time, reflecting any change in market conditions.

Reference index: There is no benchmark for this fund. The iBoxx Sterling Non-Gilt All Maturities index has been used in this report for reference purposes only.

Fund value

	Total £m
30 June 2024	129.16

Asset allocation

	Fund (%)	Benchmark
Conventional credit bonds	96.59%	99.43%
Securitised	3.41%	-
Conventional foreign sovereign	-	0.57%

Fund analytics

	Fund	Benchmark
Fund launch date	16 January 2017	
Base currency	GBP	
Duration (years)	6.59	5.37
Gross redemption yield (%)	6.38	5.31
Credit spread (%)	1.59	1.03
Number of holdings	210	1,272
Number of issuers	152	498

Performance and activity

Performance

	Fund (%)
Quarter	0.14
YTD	0.87
1 Year	11.43
3 Years (p.a.)	(3.59)
5 Years (p.a.)	(0.33)
Since inception (p.a.)	1.33

Past performance is not a guide to future performance. Please refer to the Glossary for the basis of calculation and impact of fees. Performance and since inception date based on RLPPC Enhanced Buy and Maintain Fund (Inc). Source: Royal London Asset Management; Gross performance; Since inception date of the share class is 16 January 2017.

Performance commentary

Government bonds saw negative returns during the quarter, and with credit spreads broadly flat, this led to negative returns over the period. In this environment, the fund also saw negative returns.

Taking the iBoxx Sterling Non-Gilt index as a reference for the sterling credit market, the portfolio performed broadly in line. The portfolio has a duration position that is longer than the wider market as part of its objective, and this was negative for returns relative to the market. Sector positioning was also negative, notably in our positioning in supranationals. These are a material part of sterling credit indices, but represent only a small allocation in the portfolio, reflecting our view that yields in this sector are poor value on a risk-adjusted basis compared to other parts of the market.

Stock selection was helpful when looking at performance against the reference index. This was focused in the structured sector – representing a substantial part of the overall portfolio – with strong performance from student loan provider ICSL and Telereal – secured on BT telephone exchanges.

News flow continues on the water sector as the regulator’s draft decision on spending over the next five years was deferred as a result of the snap election being called. While the commentary on the sector remains febrile, there have been no real developments that require portfolio positioning to be changed, and we continue to support the funding of this critical sector.

Performance and activity

Fund activity

New issue activity provided numerous opportunities for our Buy & Maintain credit strategy over the quarter. Financials continued to dominate primary market activity and we found a number of attractive issues. In the insurance sector, we purchased a subordinated bond from specialist UK insurer **Pension Insurance Corporation**, where we felt the high coupon looked attractive for the risk. In addition, we participated in a new sterling bond from UK bulk annuity provider **Rothesay** yielding 7%. We also added a new tier 2 issue from asset manager **Schroders**, issued with a yield of over 6%.

In the structured area, a key component of the portfolio, we continue to believe that the additional security and covenants are underpriced by the wider market. During the quarter, we added a new issue **UK Logistics** – a commercial mortgage-backed security floating rate note (FRN), backed by a portfolio of logistics assets mainly in the Greater Manchester area with an attractive loan to value ratio and AAA rating. The assets, which are positioned in close proximity to urban centres, allow SMEs to reduce their direct emissions as well as transportation costs thanks to being closer to end customers. In more familiar names, we added a new issue from the **AA** – these bonds secured on the business and paying an all-in yield of around 7%, with the issuer also tendering for their shorter dated bonds at a premium to market pricing


In more consumer-focused areas, we added a new issue from **Motability**. Motability is a scheme to provide recipients of the Disability Living Allowance (DLA) or Personal Independence Payment (PIP) with access to new vehicles on competitive lease terms. With funding provided directly from the UK government to Motability, we see these as high quality bonds with a credit spread in excess of the average in the sterling credit market.

In the secondary market, we sold long-dated subordinated bonds from **Aviva** after strong performance, reinvesting the proceeds into a tap of 2040 bonds from **Southern Water** at an attractive level– the company trades wider than the sector due to perceived operational and financial issues, but in our view operating performance is starting to improve, supported by significant new equity injections over the last few years.


In addition to regular activity, there was an outflow from the fund in April. We raised funds across the portfolio, focusing sales on maintaining the quality and composition of the remaining portfolio.

Fund breakdown

Maturity profile




Sector breakdown



Credit ratings

Credit ratings

■ Fund ■ Benchmark



Downgrades

The table below details directly held credit bonds downgraded to sub-investment grade by at least one agency during the quarter.

Asset description	Current Rating	Previous Rating
No downgrades this quarter		

Upgrades

The table below details directly held credit bonds upgraded from sub-investment grade by at least one agency during the quarter.

Asset description	Current Rating	Previous Rating
No upgrades this quarter		

Characteristics and climate

Climate metrics

	Fund	Benchmark	Difference (%)
Financed emissions (tCO ₂ e)	6,395	n/a	n/a
Financed emissions coverage	33.40%	n/a	n/a
Carbon footprint (tCO ₂ e/\$M invested)	39.66	32.37	22.51
Carbon footprint coverage	33.40%	46.50%	(28.17)
Weighted average carbon intensity (tCO ₂ e/\$M sales)	50.17	58.12	(13.68)
Weighted average carbon intensity coverage	92.20%	95.80%	(3.76)

All climate metrics presented above are for Scope 1-2 emissions. Unless specified in the objective, the data is for information only and should not be taken to mean they are being managed to/controlled.

Implied temperature rise

	Fund (%)	Benchmark (%)	Difference (%)
Implied temperature rise (ITR) coverage	33.40	46.50	(28.17)
% of portfolio below 2°C ITR	36.30	38.51	(5.75)
% of portfolio below 1.5°C ITR	16.93	16.77	0.95

SBTi net - zero

	Fund (%)	Benchmark (%)	Difference (%)
SBTi Net-Zero committed	10.68	10.67	0.07
SBTi Near-Term committed	3.53	6.14	(42.57)
SBTi Near-Term targets set	11.92	13.95	(14.56)

Fund Engagement

Engagement definition

Engagement is active dialogue with investee companies (or other entities). There are two types: engagement for information, which is dialogue as part of investment research or ongoing monitoring, without specific objectives for change, and engagement for change, which is purposeful dialogue to influence positive change, with defined objectives and demonstrable outcomes.

Engagements

Engagement activity	Fund 3 months	Fund 12 months
Number of entities engaged	4	20
Number of engagements	7	41

This is an estimate. Some engagements at the issuer level may not have been attributed to the specific bond held in the fund, resulting in a lower number of engagement activities.

Total engagements by theme and topic



Climate	3
Climate - Transition Risk	2
Climate - Physical Risk	1
Social & Financial Inclusion	2
Just transition	2
Technology, Innovation & Society	2
Technology & Society	2

Engagement focus

Firm-wide engagement activity is centred around six themes which we have identified in consultation with our clients. These are: climate change; nature and biodiversity; health; governance and corporate culture; social and financial inclusion; innovation, technology and society. Portfolio level engagements are not thematic and are focussed on issues specific to managing the portfolio and meeting the investment objective.

Engagement data represents all engagements undertaken at both firm and portfolio level across Royal London Asset Management, and may not be limited to those undertaken solely for the purpose of managing the fund.

The numbers of engagements and themes/topics discussed may differ where a single engagement covers multiple themes/topics.

Fund Engagement

Engagement outcomes

E.ON SE – Net zero

Purpose:

We engaged with the company's Senior Sustainability Manager and Economic and Public Affairs Managers as part of the Climate Action 100+ (CA100+) collaborative initiative to discuss the company's preliminary climate assessment.

Outcome:

We believe that the company is making progress, however, the impact on the CA100+ benchmark's assessment remains to be seen. The company finds this assessment challenging as it feels the methodology does not fully consider its business structure, for example the benchmark's expectations for 'just transition' disclosures when E.ON has a few generation sites that require closing. The company welcomed our suggestion to emphasise 'transitioning-in' and transparent disclosure of employee training initiatives. The company will publish a lobbying report to address one of the assessment's indicators. We facilitated dialogues with InfluenceMap and BDI (the Federation of German Industries), which the company found constructive and valuable. The conversations appear to be informative for both E.ON and BDI.

Eskmuir Properties Ltd – Net zero

Purpose:

We participated in Eskmuir's annual bondholder meeting, which provided a valuable platform to engage in discussions about its sustainability initiatives and specifically its progress towards achieving net zero.

Outcome:

Eskmuir shared its current environmental initiatives, highlighting its focus on improving its Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB) in 2024. The company aims to achieve this through enhanced data collection of energy usage across its portfolio, utilising a platform for live data access, rather than relying on tenant engagement which had a low response rate. Although the adoption of solar panels is minimal due to property constraints, the company is making strides with a majority of its portfolio rated EPC C or above, with a modest Capex spend. Eskmuir is also beginning to address embedded carbon, acknowledging the need for offsetting strategies. We will continue to monitor the company's net zero progress.

Fund Engagement

Engagement outcomes

NatWest Group Plc – Just transition

Purpose:

At the 2024 Annual General Meeting, in partnership with Border to Coast and Friend Provident Foundation, we posed a question regarding the company's commitment to integrating the principles of a just transition within its climate transition plan.

Outcome:

The Chair responded that NatWest is determined to be a leading bank on sustainability in general and just transition specifically. The Chair believes that the bank understands the need for a just transition and continues to consider how it can integrate it into its climate plan and sustainability regularly. The bank's current climate transition approach has been informed by best practice guidance, and it uses partnerships to put into practice some of the principles of a just transition. We appreciated the Chair's response to our question, yet the answer did not provide any commitments beyond what we have discussed with the company in private meetings. We have recently published investor expectations for banks on just transition and we will look to use this to continue our engagement with the bank.

Vodafone – Ethical AI

Purpose:

We have reached out to Vodafone on behalf of the Investor Group as part of the World Benchmarking Alliance's Ethical AI collaborative engagement. Our aim is to schedule a meeting with the company to gain a deeper understanding of its ethical AI practices and to discuss opportunities for enhancing these practices.

Outcome:

Vodafone has provided a written response outlining its AI governance structure, highlighting the AI Governance Board's role in strategy and policy oversight, chaired by the Chief Commercial Officer and comprising senior executives such as the CEO of Vodafone Business and the Chief Technology Officer. The AI framework emphasises a responsible approach to AI, with a focus on ethical principles and risk management across the AI lifecycle. The company is proactive in human rights due diligence and is enhancing risk assessments to address AI risks effectively with a particular focus on establishing robust controls during the design phase. We continue to seek a detailed dialogue on its ethical AI practices.

Market commentary

Market overview

Markets have once again been dominated by interest rates during the quarter, despite little or no movement in this area. 2024 started with expectations that central banks would cut early and cut often. However, as the year has progressed, those expectations have changed. Inflation has generally not come down quite as fast as hoped, with services inflation proving sticky, particularly in the UK, while growth has generally not been as weak as feared – particularly in the US. Interest rates were cut just once across the Federal Reserve, European Central Bank and Bank of England, with the ECB cutting rates in June. Most central bank forecasters now only expect one or two cuts from each of these banks over the course of 2024 as a whole.

Ahead of the US Presidential elections in November, snap parliamentary elections were called in the UK and France, providing reminders that voter dissatisfaction with the seeming consensus on economic policy and ongoing lack of consensus on longer-term issues such as climate change create an uncertain backdrop for businesses and consumers alike.

The US Federal Reserve continued to keep rates on hold at 5.25-5.50% over the quarter against a still resilient labour market backdrop, and the relatively strong core CPI inflation seen over January to April. The May data (released in June) was more reassuring both from a CPI and core PCE perspective. As of their June meeting, the median forecast of participants changed from showing 75 basis points of rate cuts for 2024 to only 25bps of cuts.

The ECB lowered rates by 25bps in June, following good inflation progress and previous hints from ECB speakers. Further rate moves after June are less certain and depend on data. Euro area CPI went up from 2.4% to 2.6% year-on-year in May, which was unexpected, and services inflation rose above 4.0%. The European elections saw incumbent parties suffer a loss of support and prompted President Macron to call an early parliamentary election. This uncertainty saw French government bonds weaken in relation to German government debt.

Data released in the UK in the second quarter confirmed that the country bounced out of technical recession in the Q1 and painted a picture of continued positive economic growth. First quarter GDP rose 0.7% quarter-on-quarter in real terms after falling 0.3% in the fourth quarter. Meanwhile inflation also dropped back to the Bank of England's 2% target. The rate of change in the CPI basket fell from 3.4% year-on-year in February to 2.0% in May, helped by lower electricity and gas bills. The Bank of England kept rates at 5.25%, even though headline inflation dropped. This reflected concern about services inflation and pay growth, both of which remain elevated. The calling of UK general election, which has resulted in a change of government, had

minor impact on sterling asset prices, reflecting a view that there would be little shift in economic policy.

Government yields generally rose over the quarter, particularly following poor US inflation data released in April with yields largely range-bound through May and June. In the US, 10-year treasury yields rose from 4.21% to 4.40%, while German 10-year bunds similarly saw yields rise from 2.30% to 2.50%. Benchmark 10-year gilt yields rose from 3.94% to 4.18%.

The sterling investment grade credit market (iBoxx non-gilt index) returned -0.13% over the quarter, with the average sterling investment grade credit spread (the average extra yield available from non-gilt bonds compared with government debt of equal maturity) widening marginally from 1.02% to 1.03% (iBoxx). Given the modest rise in yields, sectors with a greater proportion of long-dated bonds performed less well, including utilities and social housing. Of the major sectors, supnationals and banks produced positive returns, while insurance lagged.

Outlook

Last quarter we highlighted that we expected yields to remain sensitive to economic data, and unless there was a significant deterioration in underlying trends, we expected this to lead to range-bound yields. This is the scenario that unfolded over the quarter, with markets seeming to mark time until central banks – notably the Federal Reserve – start to cut rates. We expect a small fall in government bond yields in Q3.

Headline inflation is now significantly lower in the US, euro zone and UK compared to 2023. Base effects account for a material part of these falls. Beyond the headline figures, the likes of services inflation and wage growth remain higher than most central bankers would prefer. That said, we do expect to see more movement from central banks in the second half of the year, even if the quantum of those moves is less than many were forecasting earlier in the year.

Sterling investment grade yields are higher than they were at the start of the year, reflecting higher gilt yields and a small reduction in credit spread. We believe that the all-in yield on the market (using iBoxx), near 5.3% at the end of June, is attractive both in absolute terms but also relative to government bonds. Despite the contraction in credit spreads they continue to compensate investors for the risk of default.

We target – and achieve – a material credit spread premium over the market level in our buy & maintain strategy. We mitigate the risks by maintaining highly diversified portfolios, with a bias towards bonds that offer greater security and downside protection. Our view remains that over the medium term our focus on delivering that spread premium in a risk-controlled manner, will generate outperformance.

Further Information

Please click on the links below for further information:



Find out more

In an uncertain geopolitical and economic environment, we recognise the importance of keeping our clients updated on our current investment thinking.

Articles, videos, podcasts and webinars giving the latest views of our investment experts can be found in the Our Views section of www.rlam.com, including regular updates from our Fixed Income, Global Equity, Sustainable and Multi Asset teams.

Disclaimers

Important information

For professional clients only, not suitable for retail clients.

This is a financial promotion and is not investment advice.

Telephone calls may be recorded. For further information please see the Privacy Policy at www.rlam.com.

Issued in July 2024 by Royal London Asset Management Limited, 80 Fenchurch Street, London EC3M 4BY. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, firm reference number 141665. A subsidiary of The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited.

The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority. Registered in England and Wales number 99064. Registered Office: 80 Fenchurch Street London, EC3M 4BY. The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority in the UK.

Royal London Asset Management Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Registered in England and Wales number 2244297

iBoxx indexes and data are an intellectual property of Markit North America, Inc., Markit Indices GmbH, Markit Equities Limited and/or its affiliates iBoxx and has been licensed for use in connection with the fund (or other investment vehicle) or securities referenced herein.

The Index provided by IHS Markit is subject to disclaimer currently available here (and as updated by IHS Markit from time to time): <https://ihsmarkit.com/Legal/disclaimers.html> and/or in the prospectus for the Fund.

Risks and Warnings

General risks

The degree of investment risk depends on the fund you choose.

The prices of units can go down as well as up.

The return from your investment is not guaranteed; therefore, you may get back less or more than shown in the illustrations.

You may not get back the amount that you originally invested.

Past performance is not a guide to future return.

Inflation may, over time, reduce the value of your investments in real terms.

There may be a variation in performance between funds with similar objectives owing to the different assets selected.

Funds aiming for relatively high performance can incur greater risk than those adopting a more standard investment approach.

The use of derivatives in pursuit of a fund's objective may cause its risk profile to change and this may be material.

Fixed interest security risk

Fixed interest securities are particularly affected by trends in interest rates and inflation. If interest rates go up, the value of capital may fall, and vice versa. Inflation will also decrease the real value of capital. Unlike the income from a single fixed interest security, the level of income (yield) from a fund is not fixed and may go up and down. Bond yields (and as a consequence bond prices) are determined by market perception as to the appropriate level of yields given the economic background. Key determinants include economic growth prospects, inflation, the government's fiscal position, short-term interest rates and international market comparisons. The returns from bonds are fixed as at the time of purchase. Therefore the fixed coupon payable and the final redemption proceeds are known at the outset. This means that if a bond is held until its redemption date, the total return that could be expected is unaltered from its purchase date, subject to counterparty default (see 'Credit risk' below). However, over the life of a bond, the yield priced by the market (as opposed to actual fixed coupons payable) at any given time will depend on the market environment at that time. Therefore, a bond sold before its redemption date is likely to have a different price to its purchase price and a profit or loss may be incurred.

Credit risk

The value of a fixed interest security will fall in the event of the default or reduced credit rating of the issuer. Generally, the higher the rate of interest, the higher the perceived credit risk of the issuer. This fund may invest a percentage of its assets in sub-investment grade bonds. Such bonds have characteristics which may result in higher probability of default than investment grade bonds and therefore higher risk.

Overseas markets risk

Funds investing in overseas securities are exposed to, and can hold, currencies other than Sterling. As a result, overseas investments may be affected by the rise and fall in exchange rates.

Derivatives risk for efficient portfolio management

Derivatives may be used by this Fund for the purpose of efficient portfolio management. This restricts the use of derivatives to the reduction of risk and the reduction of cost. Such transactions must be economically appropriate and the exposure fully covered.

Derivatives risk for investment purposes

This fund may undertake transactions in derivatives and forward transactions (both on exchange and over the counter (OTC)). These may include interest rate swaps and interest rate futures for the purposes of meeting the investment objective, protecting the risk to capital, duration and credit management, as well as for hedging. While the discerning use of derivatives can be beneficial, derivatives also involve specific risks. These risks relate specifically to market risk, management risk, credit risk, liquidity risk, the risk of mispricing or improper valuation of derivatives and the risk that derivatives may not correlate perfectly with underlying assets, interest rates and indices. The use of derivative instruments may from time to time alter the economic exposure of the fund causing it to deviate significantly from the performance of the market as a whole. The use of these derivatives will be within the parameters allowed for linked funds by the Financial Conduct Authority and Prudential Regulation Authority.

Performance to 30 June 2024

Cumulative (%)

Annualised (%)

	3 Month	6 Month	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	3 Years (p.a.)	5 Years (p.a.)
Fund (gross)	0.14	0.87	11.43	(10.38)	(1.66)	(3.59)	(0.33)
Fund (net)	0.08	0.76	11.18	(10.97)	(2.72)	(3.80)	(0.55)

Year on year performance (%)

	30/06/2023 - 30/06/2024	30/06/2022 - 30/06/2023	30/06/2021 - 30/06/2022	30/06/2020 - 30/06/2021	30/06/2019 - 30/06/2020
Fund (gross)	11.43	(7.30)	(13.24)	3.27	6.26
Fund (net)	11.18	(7.51)	(13.43)	3.05	6.04

Past performance is not a guide to future performance. The impact of fees or other charges including tax, where applicable, can be material on the performance of your investment.

Source: RLAM as at 30 June 2024. All figures are mid-price to mid-price in GBP for the RLPPC Enhanced Buy and Maintain Fund (Inc).

Glossary

Asset allocation

Breakdown of the assets by asset classes. Based on RLAM asset classification scheme.

Carbon footprint

Exposure to high emitters in the portfolio, expressed in tCO₂e/\$M invested. Financed emissions are divided by the portfolio value, the same approach for listed companies and private issuers is applied in this metric.

Credit ratings

Credit ratings are based on RLAM composite ratings which uses a hierarchy of S&P, Moody's and then the Fitch rating.

Credit spread

Credit spread is the difference in yield between two debt securities of the same maturity but different credit quality.

Distribution yield

The distribution yield reflects the amounts that may be expected to be distributed over the next 12 months. It is calculated net of standard management charges. It reflects RLAM's current perception of market conventions around timing of bond cash flows.

Duration

Measure of sensitivity of a Fixed Income instrument to changes in interest rates, indicating the potential impact of interest rate fluctuations on the value of the investment.

Financed emissions

The emissions from activities in the real economy that are financed through lending and investment portfolios, expressed in tCO₂e. Emissions are attributed to a portfolio based on the portion of the company's value the portfolio holds, and using different accounting values for public and private corporates. We provide financed emissions for scope 1 and 2 emissions.

Fund analytics

All figures exclude cash. Credit bonds include non-sterling bonds and CDs where held within the fund or benchmark.

This is applicable to the following sections: fund Asset Allocation, Duration, Yield curve, Sector breakdown, Financial holdings, Credit ratings.

Fund value

Total value of the fund as of the last business day of the calendar month. The fund value is as at close of business and on a mid-price basis.

Gross redemption yield

Gross redemption yield is the rate of discount at which a bond's future obligations of interest and capital payments equates to its current price. The gross redemption yield shown for the fund is the average for its individual holdings, weighted by their current value, net of relevant fund management costs and gross of tax.

Implied temperature rise (ITR)

ITR aims to measure the global warming outcome from the emissions trajectory of a company, if the whole economy followed the same trajectory.

Number of holdings

Total number of unique holdings of the Fund excluding cash, currency and derivatives.

Number of issuers

Number of unique issuers of all assets held by the Fund, excluding cash, currency and derivatives.

Performance

Performance is calculated using the daily end of day NAV per share produced by HSBC based on the mid price.

Rating changes - downgrades

Directly held credit bonds downgraded from investment grade to sub-investment grade based on the RLAM composite rating during the quarter.

Rating changes - upgrades

Directly held credit bonds upgraded from sub-investment grade to investment grade based on the RLAM composite rating during the quarter.

SBTi

The Science-Based Targets initiative is a consortium of organisations that set up the definition and promotion of science-based target setting.

Sector breakdown

Breakdown of the fixed income assets, excluding derivatives and cash by RLAM's internal industry sector classification scheme. Figures are subject to rounding.

Glossary

Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI)

Portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive companies, expressed in tCO₂e / \$M revenue. Scope 1 and scope 2 GHG emissions are divided by companies revenues, then multiplied based on portfolio weights (the current value of the investment relative to the current portfolio value). The WACI is calculated as a weighted average sum of the holdings with carbon intensity coverage.

In line with our bespoke and targeted approach to credit analysis, we have developed an in-house carbon data tool that addresses coverage and mapping issues with third party systems. The development of this tool has been a critical element of RLAM's ESG integration into our credit process, addressing coverage and mapping issues with third party systems. This enhanced coverage is reflected in the WACI figures provided in this report, with all other metrics instead being based on data from MSCI.